1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 TIMOTHY BRYAN BROOKS, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-03-1860 FCD PAN P 12 VS. 13 KATHY PROSPER, et al., 14 Respondents. **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ 17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On July 27, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 20 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Petitioner has filed 22 objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 27, 2006, are adopted in full;
3	2. Petitioner's December 23, 2005, motion for a writ of error coram nobis is
4	construed as a motion for leave to amend the petition and, so construed, is denied;
5	3. Petitioner's June 1, 2006, motion for summary judgment is denied;
6	4. Petitioner's June 12, 2006, request for immediate release is denied;
7	5. Petitioner's June 12, 2006, motion pursuant to Rule 8 is denied; and
8	6. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.
9	DATED:September 11, 2006
10	
11	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
12	United States District Judge
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	